

1 ***Opinion Article: Incorporating atezolizumab in the adjuvant setting of***
2 ***non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC): key discussion points from an***
3 ***expert multidisciplinary panel by Italian Association of Thoracic***
4 ***Oncology (AIOT)***

5 **Filippo de Marinis^{1*}, Ilaria Attili¹, Cesare Gridelli², Fabiana Cecere³, Carlo Curcio⁴, Francesco**
6 **Facciolo⁵, Lorenzo Spaggiari⁶**

7 ¹European Institute of Oncology, IRCCS, Division of Thoracic Oncology, Milan, Italy

8 ²'S.G. Moscati' Hospital, Division of Medical Oncology, Avellino, Italy.

9 ³Regina Elena National Cancer Institute, IRCCS, Oncology 1, Rome, Italy

10 ⁴Monaldi Hospital, Department of Thoracic Surgery, Naples, Italy

11 ⁵Regina Elena National Cancer Institute, IRCCS, Thoracic Surgery Unit, Rome, Italy

12 ⁶European Institute of Oncology, IRCCS, Division of Thoracic Surgery, Milan, Italy

13 ⁷Università degli Studi di Milano, Department of Oncology and Hemato-Oncology, Milan, Italy

14
15 *** Correspondence:**

16 Filippo de Marinis

17 filippo.demarinis@ieo.it

18 **Keywords: atezolizumab, immunotherapy, adjuvant, perioperative treatment, surgery**

19 **1 Introduction**

20 Despite recent advances in the advanced setting, lung cancer remains the primary cause of cancer
21 death worldwide. Non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC) represents approximately 85% of overall lung
22 cancer cases.(1) About 25% of patients with NSCLC are diagnosed with an early-stage disease and
23 are candidate to receive surgical treatment with curative intent.(2) Unfortunately, although radical
24 resections are performed, only less than half of these patients are really cured, whereas disease
25 recurrence is observed in 50-60% patients at 5 years.(3-5)

26 Historically, the addition of platinum-doublet chemotherapy in the perioperative setting, either
27 adjuvant or neoadjuvant, led to a 5% global increase in 5-year overall survival (OS) as compared to
28 surgery alone.(6) Based on these data, four cycles of cisplatin-based treatment have been considered
29 the standard adjuvant approach in patients with resected NSCLC whose primary tumors were 4 cm or
30 more in their greatest diameter ($T \geq 4$ cm) or had nodal involvement after adequate nodal dissection
31 (stage IB-IIIa according to the 7th American Joint Committee on Cancer -AJCC- TNM prognostic
32 staging system).(7)

33 The adoption of the same treatment regimen in the neoadjuvant setting has historically been barely
34 limited to patients with evidence of clinical or pathological nodal involvement, mostly N2, at
35 mediastinal staging (stage IIIa N2, 7th TNM edition).(8)

36 Despite these efforts to improve survival, more than 50% of patients recur within five years from the
37 curative treatment. According to the novel 8th AJCC TNM staging system, prognostic categories have
38 been redefined, with 5-year OS rate ranging from 68% in stage IB to 36% in stage IIIA.(3) Of note,
39 the current staging system includes T3N2 tumors in stage IIIB category, which subgroup remains
40 evaluable for curative-intent treatment (Figure 1).

41 With the aim to increase the cure rate of early-stage NSCLC, both molecular-based and
42 immunotherapy based perioperative treatments are being evaluated in patients with resected tumors.
43 Impressively, the administration of adjuvant osimertinib for 3 years in patients (stage IB-III A 7th
44 TNM) harboring common *EGFR* mutations reduced by 80% the probability of disease recurrence,
45 regardless the use of adjuvant chemotherapy.(9) On the same perspective, clinical trials are ongoing
46 evaluating adjuvant targeted treatments in resected oncogene-driven tumors. In parallel, following the
47 results obtained in the advanced disease, immune checkpoint inhibitors (ICIs) have been investigated
48 in the perioperative setting. In this opinion article we aim to discuss the results obtained in the
49 adjuvant setting of NSCLC with atezolizumab, in light of the recent regulatory approvals by Food
50 and Drug Administration (FDA) and European Medicines Agency (EMA) and its application in
51 clinical practice.

52 **2 Main evidence of adjuvant atezolizumab from the registrative randomized clinical trial**

53 The IMpower010 was a multicenter phase 3 randomized clinical trial enrolling 1280 patients with
54 completely resected stage IB (≥ 4 cm) to IIIA NSCLC (7th TNM edition) between 2015 and 2018.(10)
55 In this trial, patients received adjuvant atezolizumab 1200 mg every 21 days for 16 cycles (1 year) or
56 best supportive care in a random assignment (1:1) after at least 1 cycle of adjuvant cisplatin-based
57 chemotherapy. The primary endpoint was disease free survival (DFS), hierarchically tested as
58 follows: DFS in stage II-III A PD-L1 positive ($\geq 1\%$) population, DFS in all stage II-III A population,
59 DFS in the intention-to-treat (ITT) population. At data presentation, with a median follow up of 32.2
60 months, 35/39/37% and 46/45/43% of DFS events occurred in the atezolizumab and BSC group in
61 the three defined populations, respectively. DFS was significantly improved with atezolizumab
62 compared to BSC in stage II-III A PD-L1 positive population (median NE vs 35.3 months, HR 0.66,
63 95% CI 0.50-0.88, $p=0.004$), and in all stage II-III A population (median 42.3 vs 35.3 months, HR
64 0.79, 95% CI 0.64-0.96, $p=0.020$). The third step of the hierarchical testing, DFS in ITT population,
65 was not met, with HR 0.81 (95% CI 0.67-0.99, $p=0.040$). (10)

66 Based on these results, atezolizumab was the first ICI approved by FDA as adjuvant treatment for
67 patients with completely resected stage II-III A NSCLC whose tumors had PD-L1 $\geq 1\%$.

68 Overall survival data were immature at data presentation, with HR 1.07 (95% CI 0.80-1.42) in the
69 ITT population. In addition, according to the hierarchical testing, OS as secondary endpoint was not
70 formally tested as DFS in ITT population did not meet statistical significance.(10)

71

72 **3 Key discussion points for patient selection**

73 Although treatment related adverse events with atezolizumab were mostly manageable (only 22% of
74 grade 3 or 4 adverse events, 8% grade 3-4 immune-related adverse events),(10) the risk for immune-
75 related and long-term toxicities of 1-year atezolizumab should be well balanced in the adjuvant
76 setting, where a proportion of patients might be already cured. In this view, adequate patients'

77 selection is needed in order to avoid unnecessary treatment, as well as to increase the rate of cured
78 patients or at least to prolong the time of relapse in high-risk patients.

79 **3.1 Multidisciplinary management**

80 The first step for adequate selection of patients is a correct multidisciplinary management.(11)
81 Patients with early-stage lung cancer are mostly evaluated as first by the thoracic surgeon, whose role
82 is crucial in different phases: the diagnosis, the staging, the cure. As per international guidelines, after
83 NSCLC diagnosis, an adequate disease staging includes at least contrast-enhanced CT scan of chest
84 and abdomen and a brain imaging (CT or magnetic resonance imaging MRI). (7) Patients who are
85 candidate to surgical treatment should be also evaluated with 18FDG-positron emission tomography
86 (PET) to exclude distant metastases and to investigate nodal status. Mediastinal nodal staging is also
87 recommended with endobronchial ultrasound (EBUS) bronchoscopy and transbronchial needle
88 aspiration (TBNA) to identify N positive tumors to exclude from surgery (confirmed pathological
89 N3) or to propose for neoadjuvant treatment (e.g., confirmed pathological N2). (12) Following this
90 complete evaluation, it is recommended that the treatment indication is endorsed by a
91 multidisciplinary team composed of at least the thoracic surgeon, the medical oncologist, the
92 radiation therapist, the pathologist and the pneumologist. In the absence of neoadjuvant treatment,
93 patients undergoing complete resection should be evaluated in the same multidisciplinary context to
94 select those who will benefit from adjuvant treatment. The nodal staging within surgical treatment
95 remains to date one of the major issues to adequately select patients. Indeed, a very recent report
96 from the ALCHEMIST study shows that among 2833 patients with resected stage IB (≥ 4 cm)-IIIA
97 (7th TNM), only 53% had an adequate lymph node dissection. (13) Patients in the IMpower010 trial
98 were required to have mediastinal lymph node dissection (80%) or sampling (18%) at specified levels
99 to be included in the study.(10) Hence, over T dimensions, an adequate surgical treatment with
100 appropriate nodal staging is required to identify patients with pathologically positive nodes who met
101 criteria to receive adjuvant atezolizumab to potentially reproduce DFS results obtained within the
102 clinical trial.

103 **3.2 Stage IB**

104 Following the adoption of the 8th TNM edition, the classification of stage IB tumors has changed and
105 requires to be focused to warrant consistent considerations. Indeed, the main point is that those stages
106 IB ≥ 4 cm (7th TNM) included in the IMpower010 trial are actually classified as stage II tumors
107 according to the 8th TNM edition.(14) Of note, reports on resected small NSCLC tumors with
108 negative nodal status after adequate mediastinal nodal dissection, showed 5-year OS of 83-89%,(15,
109 16) therefore the risk-benefit ratio of any adjuvant treatment with the objective to further increase
110 survival would be very challenging in this setting. Hence, patients with resected stage IB NSCLC
111 (without *EGFR* mutation) according to the current TNM edition are not candidate to receive any
112 adjuvant treatment, unless future studies will investigate this particular setting.

113 **3.3 Clinical and biological features**

114 Resected stage IIIA (40% of patients in the IMpower010 trial) who did not receive any neoadjuvant
115 treatment (e.g., occult N2) are considered as very high-risk category for disease relapse. However,
116 clinical features of patients should always be evaluated in the multidisciplinary context to decide for
117 adjuvant treatment, including ICI. Indeed, patients in the IMpower010 trial were required to receive
118 standard adjuvant cisplatin-based chemotherapy. (10)

119 In clinical practice, a proportion of patients who are surgically resected for NSCLC present with
120 major comorbidities or with impaired respiratory function (e.g., after pneumonectomy or in patients
121 with COPD), or are elderly patients. Those patients would not be good candidates for standard
122 chemotherapy doses, and in clinical practice might receive no indication for adjuvant treatments, or
123 even receive carboplatin-based chemotherapy at lower doses. In these cases, the applicability of
124 adjuvant atezolizumab remains limited. Furthermore, the potential immune-related adverse events of
125 1-year ICI, including pneumonitis, would be well balanced in patients who are potentially already
126 cured and have impaired residual respiratory function.

127 In parallel, biological features should be included in patients' evaluation. To date, few data are
128 available about the efficacy of adjuvant ICI in patients with driver gene alterations. In the advanced
129 disease, mono-immunotherapy showed no efficacy in the majority of driver-mutant NSCLC,
130 especially those not related to smoking.(17) Patients with EGFR or ALK ~~mutant-positive~~ tumors
131 were included in the IMpower010 trial, with no benefit of atezolizumab compared to BSC in these
132 subgroups. In the light of future options ~~of-with~~ targeted adjuvant treatments for those patients,
133 atezolizumab use is limited in this setting. Conversely, further investigation on biological features
134 (molecular alterations, co-mutations, tumor mutational burden, immune microenvironment) would be
135 helpful to identify those patients, even with smaller tumors, at higher risk for recurrence, who might
136 deserve the addition of adjuvant atezolizumab. In this view, a very recent report showed solid-
137 predominant stage I adenocarcinoma as having higher disease recurrence rate compared to non-solid
138 tumors (50% vs 20% at 4 years). Those tumors were also found to have higher immune cells
139 infiltrate, higher PD-L1 expression and TMB, with those features associated to higher risk of
140 recurrence.(18) These findings suggest the potential benefit of adjuvant immunotherapy in this group.

141 In addition, the role of ctDNA was evaluated in the IMpower010 trial: the presence of post-surgical
142 ctDNA (before chemotherapy) was associated with worse prognosis, and the use of atezolizumab had
143 greater DFS benefit in this subgroup compared to observation (19.1 vs 7.9 months). (19)In this view,
144 the evaluation of minimal residual disease (MRD) through NGS analysis might be helpful to define
145 the presence of micro-metastatic disease and select patients for adjuvant treatments.

146 **3.4 PD-L1**

147 The secondary endpoints of the IMpower010 study included DFS in patients with stage II-III A
148 tumors expressing PD-L1 on 50% or more ($\geq 50\%$) tumor cells. This subgroup included 229 patients
149 overall, who had greater magnitude of DFS benefit with atezolizumab compared to BSC (median NE
150 vs 35.7 months, HR: 0.43, 95% CI 0.27-0.68).(10)

151 Patients with stage II-III A whose tumors had PD-L1 expression between 1% and 49% (PD-L1 1-
152 49%) were 247. In this subgroup, as well as in PD-L1 negative subgroup, investigated in a post-hoc
153 exploratory analyses, no clear advantage with adjuvant atezolizumab over BSC was seen (HR 0.87,
154 95% 0.60-1.26; HR 0.97, 95% CI 0.72-1.31, respectively). (10)

155 Based on these results, in April 2022, the EMA adopted the indication for atezolizumab monotherapy
156 as adjuvant treatment after complete resection and adjuvant platinum-based chemotherapy, for
157 patients with high-recurrence risk NSCLC with PD-L1 $\geq 50\%$ and absence of EGFR or ALK driver
158 gene alterations.

159

160 **4 Discussion**

161 In the last decades, no advances were obtained in the adjuvant setting of NSCLC, with about half
162 patients relapsing after curative surgery. In this view, medical oncologists applied adjuvant
163 chemotherapy whenever possible in high-risk patients, often with underdosing regimens in unfit
164 patients, with the aim to reach at least the 5% OS increase demonstrated in previous metaanalyses.(6)
165 In this view, the potential decrease in disease recurrence rate by 34% demonstrated with the addition
166 of 1-year adjuvant atezolizumab in PD-L1 positive stage II-IIIa represents a remarkable step
167 forward. As often debated, DFS represents a surrogate endpoint for OS in the adjuvant setting and
168 this has always represented a reason to consider with caution DFS positive results while waiting for
169 final OS data.(20) To corroborate this doubts, early results from the KEYNOTE-091/PEARLS trial
170 were presented. In this phase III randomized study, 1-year pembrolizumab showed significant DFS
171 improve in all-comers populations of resected stage IB (≥ 4 cm)-IIIa NSCLC (7th TNM edition)
172 (median 53.6 vs 42.0 months; HR 0.76, 95% CI 0.63-0.91, $p = 0.0014$) but not in PD-L1 high
173 subgroup (however with median not reached in either arm).(21)

174 Despite the limitations of subgroup analyses, the EMA indication in PD-L1 high tumors represents in
175 our view, a valid option to select patients for adjuvant atezolizumab in the absence of more solid data
176 on long-term DFS and potential OS impact.

177 To date, it is unknown whether the 1-year duration of adjuvant treatment is enough, too short, or too
178 long. Longer follow-up, together with considerations on long-term adverse events and financial costs,
179 will help to define this aspect. Furthermore, no data on the efficacy of ICI rechallenge at disease
180 recurrence are available in patients who receive atezolizumab in the adjuvant setting. In this context,
181 the timing and the pattern of relapse,(22) as well as PD-L1 levels and potentially a rebiopsy to assess
182 tumor biology at recurrence, will help to define ICI-resistant or sensitive tumors.

183 Another point to raise is the role of adjuvant post-operative radiotherapy (PORT) in pN2 NSCLC,
184 that has been recently questioned by the negative results of the Lung-ART and PORT-C studies (23,
185 24). However, it is still uncertain whether there might be any patients who can benefit from PORT.
186 As most adjuvant trials with immune checkpoint inhibitors did not allow the use of PORT, this
187 remains a field of potential investigation.(25)

188

189 **5 Conflict of Interest**

190 F. de Marinis has served in a consultant/advisory role for Astra Zeneca, Boehringer Ingelheim,
191 Bristol-Myers Squibb, Celgene, Merck Sharp & Dohme, Novartis, Roche Genentech, Takeda and
192 Pfizer, outside the submitted work. C Gridelli received honoraria as speaker bureau or advisory board
193 member or as consultant from MSD, BMS, Roche, AstraZeneca, Novartis, Pfizer, Menarini,
194 Boehringer, Karyopharm and Eli Lilly.

195 The authors have no other relevant affiliations or financial involvement with any organization or
196 entity with a financial interest in or financial conflict with the subject matter or materials discussed in
197 the manuscript apart from those disclosed.

198

199 **6 Author Contributions**

200 Conceptualization: F.d.M. and L.S.; methodology: all authors; data collection: all authors; writing-
201 original draft preparation: I.A. and F.d.M.; writing-review and editing: all authors; visualization: I.A.

202 and F.d.M.; supervision: F.d.M. and L.S. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of
203 this manuscript.

204 **7 Funding**

205 This work was partially supported by Associazione Italiana di Oncologia Toracica (AIOT) (no grant
206 number).

207 **8 Acknowledgments**

208 This work was partially supported by the Italian Ministry of Health with “Ricerca Corrente”,
209 “5x1000”.

210

211 **References**

- 212 1. Siegel RL, Miller KD, Jemal A. Cancer statistics, 2020. *CA Cancer J Clin.* 2020;70(1):7-30.
- 213 2. Sung H, Ferlay J, Siegel RL, Laversanne M, Soerjomataram I, Jemal A, et al. Global Cancer
214 Statistics 2020: GLOBOCAN Estimates of Incidence and Mortality Worldwide for 36 Cancers in 185
215 Countries. *CA Cancer J Clin.* 2021;71(3):209-49.
- 216 3. Goldstraw P, Chansky K, Crowley J, Rami-Porta R, Asamura H, Eberhardt WE, et al. The
217 IASLC Lung Cancer Staging Project: Proposals for Revision of the TNM Stage Groupings in the
218 Forthcoming (Eighth) Edition of the TNM Classification for Lung Cancer. *J Thorac Oncol.*
219 2016;11(1):39-51.
- 220 4. Cisplatin-Based Adjuvant Chemotherapy in Patients with Completely Resected Non-Small-
221 Cell Lung Cancer. *New England Journal of Medicine.* 2004;350(4):351-60.
- 222 5. Sekihara K, Hishida T, Yoshida J, Oki T, Omori T, Katsumata S, et al. Long-term survival
223 outcome after postoperative recurrence of non-small-cell lung cancer: who is 'cured' from
224 postoperative recurrence? *European journal of cardio-thoracic surgery : official journal of the*
225 *European Association for Cardio-thoracic Surgery.* 2017;52(3):522-8.
- 226 6. Pignon JP, Tribodet H, Scagliotti GV, Douillard JY, Shepherd FA, Stephens RJ, et al. Lung
227 adjuvant cisplatin evaluation: a pooled analysis by the LACE Collaborative Group. *J Clin Oncol.*
228 2008;26(21):3552-9.
- 229 7. Postmus PE, Kerr KM, Oudkerk M, Senan S, Waller DA, Vansteenkiste J, et al. Early and
230 locally advanced non-small-cell lung cancer (NSCLC): ESMO Clinical Practice Guidelines for
231 diagnosis, treatment and follow-up. *Ann Oncol.* 2017;28(suppl_4):iv1-iv21.
- 232 8. Zhang X-N, Huang L. Neoadjuvant chemotherapy followed by surgery versus upfront surgery
233 in non-metastatic non-small cell lung cancer: systematic review and meta-analysis of randomized
234 controlled trials. *Oncotarget.* 2017;8(52):90327-37.
- 235 9. Wu YL, Tsuboi M, He J, John T, Grohe C, Majem M, et al. Osimertinib in Resected EGFR-
236 Mutated Non-Small-Cell Lung Cancer. *N Engl J Med.* 2020;383(18):1711-23.
- 237 10. Felip E, Altorki N, Zhou C, Czoszi T, Vynnychenko I, Goloborodko O, et al. Adjuvant
238 atezolizumab after adjuvant chemotherapy in resected stage IB-IIIa non-small-cell lung cancer
239 (IMpower010): a randomised, multicentre, open-label, phase 3 trial. *Lancet.* 2021;398(10308):1344-
240 57.
- 241 11. Spira A, Ettinger DS. Multidisciplinary Management of Lung Cancer. *New England Journal*
242 *of Medicine.* 2004;350(4):379-92.
- 243 12. Guarize J, Casiraghi M, Donghi S, Diotti C, Vanoni N, Romano R, et al. Endobronchial
244 Ultrasound Transbronchial Needle Aspiration in Thoracic Diseases: Much More than Mediastinal
245 Staging. *Canadian respiratory journal.* 2018;2018:4269798.
- 246 13. Kehl KL, Zahrieh D, Yang P, Hillman SL, Tan AD, Sands JM, et al. Rates of Guideline-
247 Concordant Surgery and Adjuvant Chemotherapy Among Patients With Early-Stage Lung Cancer in
248 the US ALCHEMIST Study (Alliance A151216). *JAMA oncology.* 2022;8(5):717-28.
- 249 14. Wu L-L, Liu X, Jiang W-M, Huang W, Lin P, Long H, et al. Stratification of Patients With
250 Stage IB NSCLC Based on the 8th Edition of the American Joint Committee on Cancer (AJCC)
251 Staging Manual. *Frontiers in oncology.* 2020;10:571-.

- 252 15. Casiraghi M, Galetta D, Borri A, Tessitore A, Romano R, Diotti C, et al. Ten Years'
253 Experience in Robotic-Assisted Thoracic Surgery for Early Stage Lung Cancer. The Thoracic and
254 cardiovascular surgeon. 2019;67(7):564-72.
- 255 16. Cerfolio RJ, Ghanim AF, Dylewski M, Veronesi G, Spaggiari L, Park BJ. The long-term
256 survival of robotic lobectomy for non-small cell lung cancer: A multi-institutional study. The Journal
257 of thoracic and cardiovascular surgery. 2018;155(2):778-86.
- 258 17. Mazieres J, Drilon A, Lusque A, Mhanna L, Cortot AB, Mezquita L, et al. Immune
259 checkpoint inhibitors for patients with advanced lung cancer and oncogenic driver alterations: results
260 from the IMMUNOTARGET registry. Ann Oncol. 2019;30(8):1321-8.
- 261 18. Alessi JVM, Wei Z, Ricciuti B, Vaz VR, Barrichello APdC, Lamberti G, et al. Distinct
262 genomic and immunophenotypic features of solid-predominant versus nonsolid-predominant stage I
263 lung adenocarcinomas and association with disease recurrence after surgical resection. Journal of
264 Clinical Oncology. 2022;40(16_suppl):8514-.
- 265 19. Zhou C, Das Thakur M, Srivastava MK, Zou W, Xu H, Ballinger M, et al. 2O IMpower010:
266 Biomarkers of disease-free survival (DFS) in a phase III study of atezolizumab (atezo) vs best
267 supportive care (BSC) after adjuvant chemotherapy in stage IB-IIIa NSCLC. Annals of Oncology.
268 2021;32:S1374.
- 269 20. Mauguen A, Pignon J-P, Burdett S, Domerg C, Fisher D, Paulus R, et al. Surrogate endpoints
270 for overall survival in chemotherapy and radiotherapy trials in operable and locally advanced lung
271 cancer: a re-analysis of meta-analyses of individual patients' data. The Lancet Oncology.
272 2013;14(7):619-26.
- 273 21. Paz-Ares L, O'Brien MER, Mauer M, Dafni U, Oselin K, Havel L, et al. VP3-2022:
274 Pembrolizumab (pembro) versus placebo for early-stage non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC)
275 following complete resection and adjuvant chemotherapy (chemo) when indicated: Randomized,
276 triple-blind, phase III EORTC-1416-LCG/ETOP 8-15 – PEARLS/KEYNOTE-091 study. Annals of
277 Oncology. 2022;33(4):451-3.
- 278 22. Schoenfeld AJ, Antonia SJ, Awad MM, Felip E, Gainor J, Gettinger SN, et al. Clinical
279 definition of acquired resistance to immunotherapy in patients with metastatic non-small-cell lung
280 cancer. Ann Oncol. 2021;32(12):1597-607.
- 281 23. Hui Z, Men Y, Hu C, Kang J, Sun X, Bi N, et al. Effect of Postoperative Radiotherapy for
282 Patients With pIIIA-N2 Non-Small Cell Lung Cancer After Complete Resection and Adjuvant
283 Chemotherapy: The Phase 3 PORT-C Randomized Clinical Trial. JAMA oncology. 2021;7(8):1178-
284 85.
- 285 24. Le Pechoux C, Pourel N, Barlesi F, Lerouge D, Antoni D, Lamezec B, et al. Postoperative
286 radiotherapy versus no postoperative radiotherapy in patients with completely resected non-small-cell
287 lung cancer and proven mediastinal N2 involvement (Lung ART): an open-label, randomised, phase
288 3 trial. Lancet Oncol. 2022;23(1):104-14.
- 289 25. Passaro A, Attili I, Marinis Fd. Neoadjuvant Chemotherapy Plus Immunotherapy in Early-
290 Stage Resectable Non-Small-Cell Lung Cancer. Journal of Clinical Oncology.0(0):JCO.22.00873.

291

292 **Figure Legend**293 **Figure 1**

294 The figure shows the main changes in TNM categories from the 7th to the 8th AJCC TNM edition.
295 Purple line indicates the patients included in the Impower010 trial according to the 7th TNM, blue
296 line indicates the patients included according to the 8th TNM.

297